

A. Hrabec, J. Sampaio, J.Miltat, <u>A.Thiaville</u>, S. Rohart

Lab. Physique des Solides, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, 91405 Orsay, France

I. Gross, W. Akhtar, V. Jacques

Lab. Charles Coulomb, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France

M. Belmeguenai, Y. Roussigné, A. Stashkevich, S.M. Chérif LSPM, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Nord, 93430 Villetaneuse, France





Magnetic skyrmions in a nutshell



hedgehog skyrmion stabilized by the interfacial DMI

Can be very small (few nm)

Covers the magnetization sphere once

→ topological protection

Structure and chirality fixed by DMI

Similar properties to a vortex core (gyrovector, motion by spin transfer torque)

Moves also by spin Hall effect

No (simple) motion by field

Isolated when metastable, but appears in lattice when DMI too large (and ...)



Atomic monolayers (based on Fe/Ir(111), low temperatures, SP-STM)

Single-layer ultrathin films (based on Co/Pt, CoFeB/Ta, ...)

Multilayers of ultrathin films



Skyrmions in atomic monolayers



T= 8K Diameter 3 nm

Romming et al., Science (2013)

Pd 1ML / Fe 1ML / Ir(111)



Skyrmions in atomic monolayers



Write and delete by local current (STM)

Romming et al., Science (2013)

Pd 1ML / Fe 1ML / Ir(111)



Skyrmions in single-layer ultrathin films



T= 300K Diameter 700-2000 nm Injection/creation by focussed current

W. Jiang et al., Science (2015) Ta 5nm \ Co₂₀Fe₆₀B₂₀ 1.1 \TaOx 3

> UNIVERSITÉ PARIS

SUD



T= 300K Diameter 100-300 nm

O. Boulle et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. (2016) Pt 3nm \ Co 1.08 \MgOx \Ta 1

Skyrmions in single-layer ultrathin films





Figure 6 | Forces acting on the the skyrmion and dependence of the skyrmion diameter on a perpendicular magnetic field and the film thickness. **a**, Forces acting on the DW as a function of the skyrmion diameter. F_{σ} is the force due to the exchange and effective anisotropy, F_{mag} is the force due to the magnetostatic interaction between the domains. **b**, Skyrmion diameter as a function of the film thickness (black dots) and as a function of a perpendicular external magnetic field (red squares) for t = 1.06 nm computed using micromagnetic simulations.

Important role of the magnetostatic interaction

Very sensitive to all parameters

O. Boulle et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. (2016)

See also F. Büttner et al., arXiv1704.08489 A. Bernand-Mantel et al., arXiv1712.03154



Skyrmions in multilayer ultrathin films



T= 300K Diameter 50-200 nm

S. Woo et al., Nat. Mater. (2016) (Pt 3nm \ CoFeB 0.9 \Ta 4)x15 T= 300K Diameter 30-80 nm

C. Moreau-Luchaire et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. (2016) (Ir 1nm \ Co 0.6 \ Pt 1)x10





Skyrmions in multilayer ultrathin films

Quantitative NV-center scanning stray field microscopy





SUD

Right-handed Néel wall stray field !

Magnetostatic energy overcomes DMI at one surface

Y. Dovzhenko et al., arXiv 1611.00673v2

(Pt 3 nm \Co 1.1 \ Ta 4)x10

Skyrmions in multilayer ultrathin films



CD-XRMS patterns

Magnetostatic energy overcomes DMI at one surface

Opposite chirality

opposite

same !

W. Legrand et al., arXiv 1712.05978 (Ir 1 nm \Co 0.8 \ Pt 1)xN (Pt 1 nm \Co 0.8 \ Ir 1)xN



Atomic monolayers (based on Fe/Ir(111), low temperatures, SP-STM) small but only at low T

Single-layer ultrathin films (based on Co/Pt, CoFeB/Ta, ...) room temp. but large size extremely sensistive to parameters

Multilayers of ultrathin films room temp. but chirality affected by magnetostatics not sensitive

Can be get the best out of the last two ?



Case of two ultrathin films : repetitive stacking



Domains stray field

Domain walls stray field



Case of two ultrathin films : symmetric stacking



Domains stray field

case

Domain walls stray field



Case of two ultrathin films : symmetric stacking

If positive DMI:

The stray field favors a given chirality !



Domains stray field

Domain walls stray field



Case of two ultrathin films : symmetric stacking

No DMI case



Domains stray field forces antiparallel Néel walls, reinforced by domains walls stray field



Observation by ballistic electron emission microscopy



Fig. 1: (Color online) Images $(2 \times 2 \mu m^2)$ of the Co(1.6 nm)/ Au(5 nm)/Co(1.4 nm) sample. (a) MFM phase image (inset topography) (b) BEEM (ballistic current) image (inset topography) (imaging conditions: $V_T = 1.5$ V, $I_T = 20$ nA).

BEMM contrast : $\propto m_{top}^{\mu} \cdot m_{bottom}^{\mu}$

domains : P domain walls : AP

A. Bellec, S. Rohart, M. Labrune, J. Miltat, A. Thiaville Europhys. Lett. **91**, 17009 (2010)

Domain wall energy





$$\sigma = \sigma_0 - \pi D + \delta_{\rm N} - \delta_{\rm qp} - \delta_{\rm D-DW}$$

Domain-domain wall magnetostatic coupling adds to DMI



Motion by the spin Hall effect



Thiele equation

$$\mathbf{\vec{G}} \times \vec{v} - \alpha \overleftarrow{D} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{F}_{\rm SH} = 0$$

Gyrotropic force $\mathbf{\nabla}$ Driving force

$$\vec{F}_{\rm SH} = \underbrace{\pm}{2e}^{\hbar} \pi J \theta_{\rm SH} b \vec{e}_z \times \vec{e_p}$$

- On either side we reverse spin accumulation and chirality
- The two skyrmion system moves as a rigid particle



Design of the stack



- Pempernolicular amisotropy. Ideally independent of surrounding metals
- Large effective DMI
- Large effective SHE
- Dipolar coupling





Anisotropy of single layers



• Anisotropy is slightly weaker when Au is at the bottom



Anisotropy of single layers



• Further increase of Co layer leads to a decrease of anisotropy



Insight into individual layers



- Anisotropy/DMI can be controlled by Ni or Co thickness
- Dipolar field

Pt\Ni(4)\Co\Ni(4)\Au(50)\Ni(4)\Co\Ni(4)\Pt





DMI measured by Brillouin light spectroscopy (collab. LSPM, Uni Paris-Nord)



(Role of SiO₂: A. Hrabec et al., APL (2017))

• Frequency splitting

$$\Delta f = f_{\rm S} - f_{\rm AS} = 2\gamma k_x D / \pi M_{\rm s}$$

K. Di et al., PRL (2015) M. Belmeguenai et al., PRB (2015)

- Left-handed chirality (Pt\Ni)
- The total effective DMI is zero (in bilayer)





• $D = +0.24 \pm 0.01 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ • $D = -0.21 \pm 0.01 \text{ mJ/m}^2$

Skyrmion condensation: by field, by confinement

Magnetic force microscopy (MFM)





500nm

300nm



2.5 mT

2.5 mT



Skyrmion imaging technique : MFM



- AFM 'tapping'scan
- MFM constant height scan
- The AFM scan is most important for interaction between tip and magnetic texture



- MFM is invasive
- Relies on magnetic textures pinning



NV center magnetic stray field microscopy (collab. V. Jacques, L2C Uni Montpellier)



Diamond tip from P. Maletinsky (Basel)

*P. Maletinsky et al. Nat. Nanotechnol.***7**, 320–324 (2012) PL image

optical

image









Nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center in diamond

- Substitutional nitrogen atom (N) and a vacancy (V) in the adjacent lattice site of the diamond matrix.
- Artificial atom « trapped » in the diamond lattice.







NV ground state is a spin triplet





Two imaging modes



ï

1) Quantitative mapping of the axial stray field: left-handed Néel domain walls in Pt\Co\AlOx



J.-P. Tetienne et al., Nat. Commun. 6, 6733 (2015)

2) For too large transverse field (~5 mT): Photo-luminescence quenching

I. Gross et al., Phys. Rev. Mater., in press,

NV microscopy: variation of skyrmion shapes







Skyrmion writing and displacement



2 regimes: Skyrmion writingSkyrmion displacement



4 mT, 7ns

Models for skyrmion writing: O. Heinonen et al: PRB (2016) K. Everschor-Sitte et al., NJP (2017)

> Skyrmions move against electrons, at large velocities





Skyrmions displacement



Gyrotropic motion?



Gyrotropic force (bubbles)

$$\vec{F}_{g} = \vec{G} \times \vec{v} = \left(0, 0, -\frac{\mu_{0}M_{s}t}{\gamma_{0}}\Omega\right) \times (v_{x}, v_{y}, 0)$$

$$\Omega = 4\pi Sp$$

$$\vec{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum$$



• Gyrovector independent of chirality

A.P. Malozemoff et al, JAP (1973) J.C. Slonczewski et al, AIP Conf. Proc. (1973)

• Distribution of winding numbers in a classical bubble material



Skyrmion motion with no geometrical restrictions

e



```
6 ns, J=3x10<sup>11</sup> A/m<sup>2</sup>
```





Gyrotropic force

 $\Omega = 4\pi Sp$

 $= +4\pi$ Bz \vec{j} 1µm

> Jiang et al., Nature Phys. (2015) Yu et al., Nano Lett (2016) Litzius et al., Nature Phys. (2017)



Oersted field effect ?

 $\bigotimes_{\mathsf{B}_7}$





- Oe force relatively small compared to the gyrotropic force
- Pointing in the opposite direction

 $F_{\rm SH} = 21.4 \text{ pN}$ $F_{\rm G} = 11.0 \text{ pN}$ $F_{\rm Oe} = 2.0 \text{ pN}$



Gyrotropic force



$$\Omega = 4\pi Sp$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$$



S=1 (...or at least S>0)



Conclusion

- Developing of a new system for isolated skyrmions phase, with additional magnetostatic stabilization
- Skyrmion generation

and

• Skyrmion fast displacement

in the same device

• Skyrmion deflection demonstration



Jلے

 \bigcirc

0

 $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$







- Current-induced skyrmion generation and dynamics in symmetric bilayers A. Hrabec, J. Sampaio, M. Belmeguenai, I. Gross, R. Weil, S.M. Chérif, A. Stashkevich, V. Jacques, A. Thiaville, S. Rohart

Nat. Commun. 8, 15765 (2017)

- Skyrmion morphology in ultrathin magnetic films

I. Gross, W. Akhtar, A. Hrabec, J. Sampaio, L.J. Martinez, S. Chouaieb, B.J. Shields, P. Maletinsky, A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, V. Jacques

anR

ULTRASKY

Phys. Rev. Mater., accepted; arXiv1709.06027

